

<-- Hide Menu

# Student Assessment of Instructors - Instructor Interface

Logout

## Instructor Tools

To develop your items for the evaluation, please **click** on the boxes below that list the operations involved in creation of evaluation surveys. A quick start guide is available by clicking

Welcome Page

Request Help

Edit Question Bank

Manual Creation and Activation of Evaluations

Review Activation Schedules

Set Automation Rules

Create Evaluations by Automation Rules

## Results

Control Access to Summary Reports

View Responses and Summary Reports

Discipline Summary Reports

Download Response Data

## Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching

**Evaluation Report** for Jens Oberheide teaching PHYS 3000 001 during Fall Semester 2016

Respondents = 9 Enrolled = 25 Response rate = 36%

### University Questions (3686)

G1. The instructor clearly communicated what I was expected to learn.

**Type:** How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (11.11%)	2 (22.22%)	6 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.556	0.726	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	4.200	1.000	5	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	4.159	1.001	4	1588

G2. The instructor made the relevance of the course material clear.

**Type:** How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (11.11%)	2 (22.22%)	6 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.556	0.726	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	4.520	0.770	5	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	4.132	1.025	4	1581

G3. The course was well organized.

**Type:** How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (22.22%)	1 (11.11%)	6 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.444	0.882	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	4.240	0.879	5	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	4.263	0.977	5	1585

G4. There was a positive interaction between the class and the instructor.

**Type:** How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (11.11%)	2 (22.22%)	6 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.556	0.726	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	4.280	1.021	5	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	4.147	1.080	5	1581

G5. The instructor's teaching methods helped me understand the course material.

**Type:** How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	6 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.333	1.000	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	3.720	1.242	4	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	3.778	1.224	4	1578

G6. The instructor's verbal communication skills helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	6 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.333	1.000	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	3.840	1.214	4	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	3.786	1.259	4	1583

G7. The instructor clearly explained what was expected on assignments and tests

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (22.22%)	0 (0.00%)	7 (77.78%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.556	0.882	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	3.880	1.166	4	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	4.139	1.056	4	1576

G8. The instructor kept me informed about my progress in the course.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
1 (11.11%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	5 (55.56%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	3.889	1.453	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	4.160	1.248	5	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	4.298	0.965	5	1582

G9. The feedback I received on assignments and tests gave me the opportunity to improve my performance.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (22.22%)	2 (22.22%)	5 (55.56%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.333	0.866	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	4.040	1.207	5	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	3.866	1.177	4	1583

G10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
----------------	---	---	---	---------------	----

0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	6 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)
-----------	-----------	------------	-----------	------------	-----------

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.333	1.000	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	3.960	1.098	4	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	4.050	1.101	4	1583

G11. The instructor's grading procedures gave a fair evaluation of my understanding of the material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (22.22%)	0 (0.00%)	7 (77.78%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.556	0.882	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	3.920	1.352	4	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	4.165	1.003	4	1584

G12. How much work did you put into this course relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
1 (11.11%)	4 (44.44%)	2 (22.22%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (22.22%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	2.778	1.394	2	9
<b>Same Level</b>	3.917	1.248	4	24
<b>Same Discipline</b>	3.920	0.961	4	1582

G13. How difficult was this course for you relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
4 (44.44%)	1 (11.11%)	1 (11.11%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	2.667	1.871	2	9
<b>Same Level</b>	3.640	1.350	4	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	3.868	1.010	4	1580

G14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (11.11%)	1 (11.11%)	7 (77.78%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
<b>Class</b>	4.667	0.707	5	9
<b>Same Level</b>	4.400	1.354	5	25
<b>Same Discipline</b>	4.521	0.938	5	1582

G15. Was this course in your major?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
9 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

G16. Was this course team-taught?

**Type:** Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
2 (22.22%)	7 (77.78%)	0 (0.00%)

G17. Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom by choosing one response from the scale. In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, email, fax and other means.

**Type:** Satisfaction

Very Dissatisfied (1)	2	3	Very Satisfied (4)	NR
0 (0.00%)	1 (11.11%)	0 (0.00%)	8 (88.89%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	3.778	0.667	4	9
Same Level	3.840	0.473	4	25
Same Discipline	3.437	0.727	4	1585

G18. Please comment on the strengths of the instructor and the course.

**Type:** Essay

**Student**

**Comments**

- #1 - This course allowed students the unique opportunity to look at what many of the professors were doing in terms of research and to give us the chance to get involved with some of this research ourselves.
- #2 - It's a seminar course, thanks for making it more enjoyable and not a chore!
- #4 - Easy grader
- #5 - Very clear on instructions and what was required for writing the papers
- #9 - The course was very helpful in giving me an idea of the different research areas within the department, and I overall found this very helpful and interesting to learn more about.

G19. Please comment on the weaknesses of the instructor and the course.

**Type:** Essay

**Student**

**Comments**

- #1 - I saw no weaknesses in the course. Although, I could say the lack of colloquiums to be a weakness, but that must just be bad luck on the department's part.
- #2 - None
- #4 - did not provide much feedback on papers.
- #5 - n/a
- #9 - None.

G20. Please comment on any teaching methods you found particularly helpful, and suggest alternative methods that you feel would improve the course.

**Type:** Essay

**Student**

**Comments**

- #1 - Their were no teaching methods untitled in this course by the instructors.
- #2 - It was a seminar.
- #4 - give more feedback on papers
- #5 - n/a
- #9 - It was very helpful to hear from the professors about their work and research more into the topics they find interesting.

G21. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. Yes or no? Why?

**Type:** Essay

**Student**

**Comments**

- #1 - Yes, this course is fun and allows the student a unique chance to get involved in research here at Clemson University.
- #2 - Yes, he doesn't make it unnecessarily annoying. You get to learn about research in the department without dreading having to write about it extensively.
- #4 - yes, fun course to learn about current research being conducted at Clemson.
- #5 - yes, very easy to speak to and always willing to answer questions
- #8 - Yes, class was pretty straightforward
- #9 - Yes, I think it is very helpful to find out about the work being done in the department if interested in doing research. Dr. Oberheide was very helpful and made the course go very smoothly.

**Departmental Questions (5809)**

D1. I have significantly improved my critical thinking and problem solving skills during this course.

**Type:** Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
6 (66.67%)	3 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)

Ok