

<-- Hide Menu

Student Assessment of Instructors - Instructor Interface

Logout

Instructor Tools To develop your items for the evaluation, please click on the boxes below that list the operations involved in creation of evaluation surveys. A quick start guide is available by clicking Help
Welcome Page
Request Help
Edit Question Bank
Manual Creation and Activation of Evaluations
Review Activation Schedules
Set Automation Rules
Create Evaluations by Automation Rules
Results
Control Access to Summary Reports
View Responses and Summary Reports
Discipline Summary Reports
Download Response Data

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching

Evaluation Report for Jens Oberheide teaching PHYS 3000 001 during Fall Semester 2017

Respondents = 5 Enrolled = 14 Response rate = 36%

University Questions (3686)

G1. The instructor clearly communicated what I was expected to learn.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (80.00%)	1 (20.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	4
Same Level	3.900	1.373	5	30
Same Discipline	4.124	1.042	4	1406

G2. The instructor made the relevance of the course material clear.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	5 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	5
Same Level	4.300	1.022	5	30
Same Discipline	4.151	1.046	4	1408

G3. The course was well organized.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (40.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (60.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.200	1.095	5	5
Same Level	3.733	1.285	4	30
Same Discipline	4.237	1.016	5	1409

G4. There was a positive interaction between the class and the instructor.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (80.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.600	0.894	5	5
Same Level	4.100	1.269	5	30
Same Discipline	4.189	1.058	5	1405

G5. The instructor's teaching methods helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	1 (20.00%)	3 (60.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.400	0.894	5	5
Same Level	3.567	1.331	4	30
Same Discipline	3.770	1.263	4	1406

G6. The instructor's verbal communication skills helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	1 (20.00%)	3 (60.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.400	0.894	5	5
Same Level	3.800	1.297	4	30
Same Discipline	3.787	1.276	4	1405

G7. The instructor clearly explained what was expected on assignments and tests

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (80.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.600	0.894	5	5
Same Level	3.533	1.408	4	30
Same Discipline	4.069	1.076	4	1400

G8. The instructor kept me informed about my progress in the course.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (80.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.600	0.894	5	5
Same Level	3.933	1.507	5	30
Same Discipline	4.235	1.038	5	1402

G9. The feedback I received on assignments and tests gave me the opportunity to improve my performance.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	3 (60.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.200	1.304	5	5
Same Level	3.733	1.413	4	30
Same Discipline	3.841	1.215	4	1401

G10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
----------------	---	---	---	---------------	----

0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	1 (20.00%)	3 (60.00%)	0 (0.00%)
-----------	-----------	------------	------------	------------	-----------

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.400	0.894	5	5
Same Level	3.867	1.224	4	30
Same Discipline	4.039	1.106	4	1404

G11. The instructor's grading procedures gave a fair evaluation of my understanding of the material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (80.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.600	0.894	5	5
Same Level	3.833	1.315	4	30
Same Discipline	4.122	1.026	4	1400

G12. How much work did you put into this course relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
3 (60.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	2.200	1.789	1	5
Same Level	3.933	1.048	4	30
Same Discipline	3.999	0.977	4	1404

G13. How difficult was this course for you relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
3 (60.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	2.200	1.789	1	5
Same Level	3.933	1.143	4	30
Same Discipline	4.038	0.994	4	1403

G14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	5 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	5
Same Level	4.600	1.070	5	30
Same Discipline	4.575	0.869	5	1403

G15. Was this course in your major?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
5 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

G16. Was this course team-taught?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
2 (40.00%)	3 (60.00%)	0 (0.00%)

G17. Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom by choosing one response from the scale. In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, email, fax and other means.

Type: Satisfaction

Very Dissatisfied (1)	2	3	Very Satisfied (4)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (20.00%)	4 (80.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	3.800	0.447	4	5
Same Level	3.533	0.860	4	30
Same Discipline	3.436	0.739	4	1407

G18. Please comment on the strengths of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student	Comments
#1	- For this course, the instructor was more of a facilitator for guest lecturers, so not many strengths of weaknesses to touch on.
#2	- He communicated to us what we needed to do for each presentation.
#3	- Gave fair, honest, and punctual feedback on assignments
#5	- Helps student glimpse into different aspects of physics at an easy to understand level.

G19. Please comment on the weaknesses of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student	Comments
#1	- View answer to Part 2 of 6
#2	- No weaknesses
#3	- Sometimes communication between professor and students could be improved
#5	- Some topics are more interesting than others.

G20. Please comment on any teaching methods you found particularly helpful, and suggest alternative methods that you feel would improve the course.

Type: Essay

Student	Comments
#2	- He didn't really teach us much, considering it was just presentations from other faculty members and outside guests.
#3	- The entire grading process was very beneficial to my learning, submitting weekly papers and then receiving grades by the following week.
#5	- Perhaps all students need to know the basic formatting of citations. I think that will help them with papers from other courses as well.

G21. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. Yes or no? Why?

Type: Essay

Student	Comments
#1	- Yes, although I would have appreciated a schedule with the colloquium instead of a one day "notice". Also, colloquiums typically ran over by 20 minutes of the designated time which caused problem for scheduling

meetings and group work.

#2 - Yes, it's a great way to learn about the research going on in the physics department.

#3 - Of course, he is approachable and very open to discuss students issues and help them succeed

#5 - Yes.

Departmental Questions (5809)

D1. I have significantly improved my critical thinking and problem solving skills during this course.

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
4 (80.00%)	1 (20.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Ok