

<-- Hide Menu

Student Assessment of Instructors - Instructor Interface

Logout

Instructor Tools To develop your items for the evaluation, please click on the boxes below that list the operations involved in creation of evaluation surveys. A quick start guide is available by clicking Help
Welcome Page
Request Help
Edit Question Bank
Manual Creation and Activation of Evaluations
Review Activation Schedules
Set Automation Rules
Create Evaluations by Automation Rules
Results
Control Access to Summary Reports
View Responses and Summary Reports
Discipline Summary Reports
Download Response Data

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching

Evaluation Report for Jens Oberheide teaching PHYS 6650 001 during Spring Semester 2016

Respondents = 1 Enrolled = 1 Response rate = 100%

University Questions (3686)

G1. The instructor clearly communicated what I was expected to learn.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.800	0.447	5	5
Same Discipline	4.113	1.034	4	1477

G2. The instructor made the relevance of the course material clear.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.600	0.548	5	5
Same Discipline	4.076	1.039	4	1475

G3. The course was well organized.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.600	0.548	5	5
Same Discipline	4.199	1.010	5	1478

G4. There was a positive interaction between the class and the instructor.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.800	0.447	5	5
Same Discipline	4.054	1.122	4	1472

G5. The instructor's teaching methods helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.800	0.447	5	5
Same Discipline	3.705	1.271	4	1469

G6. The instructor's verbal communication skills helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.600	0.548	5	5
Same Discipline	3.601	1.338	4	1470

G7. The instructor clearly explained what was expected on assignments and tests

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.800	0.447	5	5
Same Discipline	4.129	1.039	4	1470

G8. The instructor kept me informed about my progress in the course.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.800	0.447	5	5
Same Discipline	4.311	0.972	5	1465

G9. The feedback I received on assignments and tests gave me the opportunity to improve my performance.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.800	0.447	5	5
Same Discipline	3.779	1.237	4	1473

G10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
----------------	---	---	---	---------------	----

0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)
-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-----------

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.800	0.447	5	5
Same Discipline	3.962	1.129	4	1473

G11. The instructor's grading procedures gave a fair evaluation of my understanding of the material.

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.600	0.548	5	5
Same Discipline	4.184	0.994	4	1460

G12. How much work did you put into this course relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	3.800	0.837	4	5
Same Discipline	3.935	0.959	4	1469

G13. How difficult was this course for you relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	3.400	1.140	3	5
Same Discipline	3.897	1.009	4	1466

G14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?

Type: How much

Not at all (1)	2	3	4	Very much (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.200	0.837	4	5
Same Discipline	4.648	0.763	5	1467

G15. Was this course in your major?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

G16. Was this course team-taught?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

G17. Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom by choosing one response from the scale. In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, email, fax and other means.

Type: Satisfaction

Very Dissatisfied (1)	2	3	Very Satisfied (4)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	4.000	0.000	4	1
Same Level	3.800	0.447	4	5
Same Discipline	3.432	0.712	4	1473

G18. Please comment on the strengths of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student **Comments**

#1 - The professor give us a lot of help especially on the oral test.

G19. Please comment on the weaknesses of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student Comments

#1 - NO

G20. Please comment on any teaching methods you found particularly helpful, and suggest alternative methods that you feel would improve the course.

Type: Essay

Student **Comments**

#1 - The teacher will give us some homework and this kind of example will show on our class again.

G21. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. Yes or no? Why?

Type: Essay

Student Comments

#1 - Yes

G22. If this course was taught with a mixture of graduate and undergraduate students, was the graduate content sufficiently rigorous for a graduate level course?

Type: Agreement

Strongly Disagree (1)	2	3	4	Strongly Agree (5)	NR
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations
Class	5.000	0.000	5	1
Same Level	4.250	0.500	4	4
Same Discipline	4.250	0.500	4	4

G23. Briefly describe some examples of the graduate level content and indicate your satisfaction with it.

Type: Essay

Student Comments**Departmental Questions** (5809)

D1. I have significantly improved my critical thinking and problem solving skills during this course.

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
1 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)