

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching

Evaluation Report for Jens Oberheide teaching PHYS H322 001 during Spring Semester 2012
 Respondents = 3; Enrolled = 5; Response rate = 60%

University Questions(3686)

G1. The instructor clearly communicated what I was expected to learn.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)		0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.667	0.577	5	3		
Same Level	4.212	1.126	5	52		
Same Discipline	3.913	1.108	4	1931		

G2. The instructor made the relevance of the course material clear.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)		0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		
Same Level	4.442	1.056	5	52		
Same Discipline	3.932	1.106	4	1930		

G3. The course was well organized.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)		0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		
Same Level	4.077	1.250	5	52		
Same Discipline	4.052	1.066	4	1926		

G4. There was a positive interaction between the class and the instructor.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)		0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		
Same Level	4.327	1.294	5	52		
Same Discipline	3.933	1.165	4	1922		

G5. The instructor's teaching methods helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)		0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		
Same Level	4.038	1.204	4	52		
Same Discipline	3.542	1.303	4	1927		

G6. The instructor's verbal communication skills helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	
1	2	3	4	5	NR	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		
Same Level	4.231	1.262	5	52		
Same Discipline	3.546	1.340	4	1932		

G7. The instructor clearly explained what was expected on assignments and tests

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	
1	2	3	4	5	NR	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		
Same Level	4.269	1.206	5	52		
Same Discipline	3.870	1.185	4	1928		

G8. The instructor kept me informed about my progress in the course.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	
1	2	3	4	5	NR	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		
Same Level	3.981	1.146	4	52		
Same Discipline	4.098	1.090	4	1928		

G9. The feedback I received on assignments and tests gave me the opportunity to improve my performance.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	
1	2	3	4	5	NR	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		
Same Level	4.115	1.308	5	52		
Same Discipline	3.641	1.264	4	1924		

G10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	
1	2	3	4	5	NR	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		
Same Level	4.346	1.203	5	52		
Same Discipline	3.780	1.207	4	1929		

G11. The instructor's grading procedures gave a fair evaluation of my understanding of the material.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	
1	2	3	4	5	NR	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3		

Same Level	4.115	1.215	5	52
Same Discipline	3.881	1.148	4	1919

G12. How much work did you put into this course relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all				Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations	
Class	5.000	0.000	5	3	
Same Level	3.558	1.290	4	52	
Same Discipline	3.724	1.013	4	1925	

G13. How difficult was this course for you relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all				Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (66.67%)	1 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations	
Class	4.333	0.577	4	3	
Same Level	3.308	1.408	3	52	
Same Discipline	3.761	1.056	4	1925	

G14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?

Type: How much

Not at all				Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (33.33%)	2 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations	
Class	4.667	0.577	5	3	
Same Level	4.923	0.436	5	52	
Same Discipline	4.585	0.853	5	1921	

G15. Was this course in your major?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

G16. Was this course team-taught?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)

G17. Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom by choosing one response from the scale. In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, email, fax and other means.

Type: Satisfaction

Very Dissatisfied				Very Satisfied	NR
1	2	3	4		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations	
Class	4.000	0.000	4	3	
Same Level	3.519	0.754	4	52	
Same Discipline	3.287	0.793	3	1929	

G18. Please comment on the strengths of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student Comments

- #1 - Dr. Oberheide is absolutely fantastic at explaining and teaching the material. He understands it perfectly and his communication is fantastic. His love for the subject is apparent and helps us comprehend it. The subject is an absolute requirement for any physicist, but it is a pleasure to learn.
- #2 - very intuitive course (until about special relativity); teaching style was highly accessible and helpful with understanding; office hours and regular (challenging) weekly homework also a good pattern
- #3 - He was very good at giving examples. I learned quite a bit about classical mechanics.

G19. Please comment on the weaknesses of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student Comments

- #1 - He is a fantastic teacher and I have nothing bad to say. I wish there were a third semester in the sequence to cover all of the special topics.
- #2 - ...instructor consistently used wrong spelling of "principal" (as in axes)
- #3 - None

G20. Please comment on any teaching methods you found particularly helpful, and suggest alternative methods that you feel would improve the course.

Type: Essay

Student Comments

- #1 - Lecture and notes. It works and doesn't need fixing.
- #2 - test/quiz/homework structure was difficult but very effective
- #3 - I liked the large amounts of examples, and nothing needs to be improved.

G21. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. Yes or no? Why?

Type: Essay

Student Comments

- #1 - Yes. Dr. Oberheide is seriously awesome at teaching.
- #2 - yes
- #3 - Yes, since it was very informative, and the teacher is very good.