

Clemson University Student Evaluation of Teaching

Evaluation Report for Jens Oberheide teaching PHYS 2400 001 during Spring Semester 2019
 Respondents = 6; Enrolled = 27; Response rate = 22%

University Questions(3686)

G1. The instructor clearly communicated what I was expected to learn.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	5 (83.33%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.833	0.408	5	6		
Same Level	4.459	0.835	5	1000		
Same Discipline	4.217	1.019	5	1703		

G2. The instructor made the relevance of the course material clear.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	5 (83.33%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.833	0.408	5	6		
Same Level	4.446	0.855	5	999		
Same Discipline	4.216	1.010	5	1704		

G3. The course was well organized.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	5 (83.33%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.833	0.408	5	6		
Same Level	4.563	0.781	5	998		
Same Discipline	4.284	1.009	5	1702		

G4. There was a positive interaction between the class and the instructor.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	5 (83.33%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.833	0.408	5	6		
Same Level	4.549	0.817	5	999		
Same Discipline	4.247	1.086	5	1703		

G5. The instructor's teaching methods helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	5 (83.33%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.833	0.408	5	6		
Same Level	4.224	1.014	5	994		
Same Discipline	3.892	1.222	4	1697		

G6. The instructor's verbal communication skills helped me understand the course material.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.500	0.837	5	6		
Same Level	4.299	0.997	5	992		
Same Discipline	3.939	1.233	4	1695		

G7. The instructor clearly explained what was expected on assignments and tests

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.500	0.837	5	6		
Same Level	4.372	0.923	5	994		
Same Discipline	4.149	1.075	5	1694		

G8. The instructor kept me informed about my progress in the course.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	0 (0.00%)	5 (83.33%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.667	0.816	5	6		
Same Level	4.541	0.840	5	994		
Same Discipline	4.332	1.009	5	1697		

G9. The feedback I received on assignments and tests gave me the opportunity to improve my performance.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (33.33%)	2 (33.33%)	2 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.000	0.894	4	6		
Same Level	4.141	1.107	5	999		
Same Discipline	3.916	1.217	4	1703		

G10. Overall, the instructor is an effective teacher.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (33.33%)	4 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		
Class	4.667	0.516	5	6		
Same Level	4.423	0.900	5	998		
Same Discipline	4.103	1.136	4	1701		

G11. The instructor's grading procedures gave a fair evaluation of my understanding of the material.

Type: How much

Not at all					Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (33.33%)	4 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations		

Class	4.667	0.516	5	6
Same Level	4.414	0.899	5	994
Same Discipline	4.232	1.019	5	1690

G12. How much work did you put into this course relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all				Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	4 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations	
Class	4.500	0.837	5	6	
Same Level	4.146	0.920	4	991	
Same Discipline	4.040	0.958	4	1695	

G13. How difficult was this course for you relative to your other courses?

Type: How much

Not at all				Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5	
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations	
Class	4.333	1.033	5	6	
Same Level	4.075	0.974	4	990	
Same Discipline	4.023	0.991	4	1697	

G14. To what extent was this course a requirement for you?

Type: How much

Not at all				Very much	NR
1	2	3	4	5	
0 (0.00%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	1 (16.67%)	3 (50.00%)	0 (0.00%)
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations	
Class	4.000	1.265	4.5	6	
Same Level	4.635	0.803	5	996	
Same Discipline	4.651	0.793	5	1695	

G15. Was this course in your major?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
2 (33.33%)	4 (66.67%)	0 (0.00%)

G16. Was this course team-taught?

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
0 (0.00%)	5 (83.33%)	1 (16.67%)

G17. Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom by choosing one response from the scale. In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, email, fax and other means.

Type: Satisfaction

Very Dissatisfied				Very Satisfied	NR
1	2	3	4		
0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	6 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	
Focus	Mean	Stdev	Median	Observations	
Class	4.000	0.000	4	6	
Same Level	3.609	0.643	4	998	
Same Discipline	3.502	0.711	4	1703	

G18. Please comment on the strengths of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student Comments

- #2 - He knows the material very well and is overqualified to teach this class
- #3 - The power points were very useful for understanding the large amount of information in the book.
- #4 - Dr. Oberheide was very thorough with the notes. All of it was useful for the quizzes and homeworks.
- #5 - he really knows what he's talking about and seems very passionate about it

G19. Please comment on the weaknesses of the instructor and the course.

Type: Essay

Student Comments

- #1 - The lecture covered the surface level understanding of concepts needed to understand. I wish some concepts were thoroughly covered in lecture.
- #2 - He sometimes moves quickly over math sections, even though they are not needed I wish I could understand them better
- #3 - None that I can think of.
- #4 - The PowerPoints got tedious sometimes, notes on the board or physical demonstrations to switch it up could have been useful.
- #5 - I did not enjoy only getting 5 days notice on exams. It was very stressful.

G20. Please comment on any teaching methods you found particularly helpful, and suggest alternative methods that you feel would improve the course.

Type: Essay

Student Comments

- #2 - I liked the power points and the module hw
- #3 - I think how homework was structured was great, it was nice being able to see what I got wrong immediately after I submitted the assignment.
- #4 - Overall, all teaching methods were useful.
- #5 - I really enjoyed all the diagrams. I wish we were able to see the homework more than once after completing it so we could use it to study for exams.

G21. I would recommend this instructor to a friend. Yes or no? Why?

Type: Essay

Student Comments

- #2 - Yes because he is nice and knows the material well
- #3 - Yes, the course information was very easy to understand the way it was taught.
- #4 - Yes, Dr. Oberheide taught the class effectively.
- #5 - Yes, he is very good at explaining the topics in question.

.

.

Departmental Questions(5809)

D1. I have significantly improved my critical thinking and problem solving skills during this course.

Type: Yes - No

Yes	No	NR
4 (66.67%)	2 (33.33%)	0 (0.00%)